Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,523	BEAMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Turocy	1762
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) <u>David Turocy</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chen Liang</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 1st		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE The examiner and the applicants representative discussed of that the claim amendments be done by examiners amendments	laim amendments to more distinc	tly claim the invention. It was agreed
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a value record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)